

## Extremes of Endometrial Thickness in Programmed Frozen Embryo Transfer Cycles

## Natasha Raj-Derouin, MD MS<sup>1</sup>; Katherine Erickson, BS<sup>2</sup>; Richard J Paulson, MD, MS<sup>3,4</sup>; Rachel Mandelbaum, MD<sup>3,4</sup>

1. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kaiser Permanente Los Angeles Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA; 2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kaiser Permanente Los Angeles Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA; 3. Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; 4. HRC Fertility, Pasadena, CA

BACKGROUND	RESULTS			
• Endometrial thickness (EMT) is often measured as a marker of adequate endometrial estrogen exposure in programmed frozen embryo transfer cycles (pFET) prior to progesterone start.		EMT 7-14 mm	EMT < 7 mm	EMT > 14 mm
	Clinical pregnancy rate (bhCG $\geq$ 5 mIU/mL per transfer)	72.7% ref	68.2% 0.79 (0.46-1.35), P=0.38	77.9% 1.22 (0.80-1.87), P=0.35
<ul> <li>Optimal EMT remains controversial; many studies suggest a bell-curve relationship with poorer outcomes at extremes of EMT measurements, less than 7 mm and greater than 14 mm.</li> </ul>	Ongoing pregnancy rate (pregnancy $\ge$ 8wks per transfer)	61.1=2% ref	51.5% 0.67 (0.41-1.12), P=0.13	63.6% 1.02 (0.71-1.48), P=0.90
	Live birth rate (per transfer)	39.3% ref	42.6% 0.89 (0.50-1.56), P=0.67	35.4% 1.13 (0.77-1.66), P=0.55
<ul> <li>There is also a paucity of data on EMT &gt;14mm.</li> </ul>	Biochemical pregnancy loss (per positive bHCG)	8.3% ref	9.1% 1.06 (0.44-2.54), P=0.90	8.6% 1.06 (0.56-2.00), P=0.85
OBJECTIVE	Clinical pregnancy loss (per positive bHCG)	7.9% ref	12.1% 1.68 (0.77-3.65), P=0.19	8.6% 1.03 (0.55-1.94), P=0.92
To evaluate the relationship between extremes of EMT and programmed FET outcomes.	Overall pregnancy loss (per positive bHCG)	22.6% ref	31.3% 1.49 (0.76-2.90), P=0.25	22.0% 0.96 (0.59-1.57), P=0.88
METHODS	CONCLUSIONS			
<ul> <li>Retrospective study of 1,442 pFETs of a single euploid embryo between January 2018 and April 2023</li> <li>Patients were categorized into three categories based on EMT measured the day of or the day prior to progesterone start:         <ul> <li>Thin (&lt;7 mm)</li> <li>"Optimal" (7-14 mm)</li> <li>Thick (&gt;14 mm)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In this population of single euploid pFETs, EMT outside of the "optimal" 7-14 mm range did not impact pregnancy outcomes.</li> <li>Endometrial thickness cutoffs may not be necessary in pFET cycles, as satisfactory pregnancy outcomes can still be achieved in the setting of a thin or thick EMT.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Excluded lack of PGT-A, multiple embryo transfer, third-party</li> <li>Primary outcomes: clinical pregnancy rate (bhCG &gt; 5 mIU/mL), ongoing pregnancy rate (&gt; 8 weeks gestation), live birth rate, and miscarriage rates</li> </ul>	Contact Information: natasha.rajderouin@kp.org 1.Liao Z, Liu C, Cai L, Shen L, Sui C, Zhang H, Qian K. The Effect of Endometrial Thickness on Pregnancy, Maternal, and Perinatal Outcomes of Women in Fresh Cycles After IVE/ICSI: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, Front Endocrinol (Lausanne), 2022 Feb 11:12:814648			

• Multivariate logistic regression accounting for age, endometrial preparation protocol, and physician

of Women in Fresh Cycles After IVF/ICSI: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022 Feb 11;12:814648. 2.Shaodi Z, Qiuyuan L, Yisha Y, Cuilian Z. The effect of endometrial thickness on pregnancy outcomes of frozen-thawed embryo transfer cycles which underwent hormone replacement therapy. PLoS One. 2020 Sep 24;15(9):e0239120.