

EVALUATING THE CLINICAL BENEFIT OF FETAL ECHOCARDIOGRAMS IN LOW RISK IN VITRO FERTILIZATION (IVF) PREGNANCIES WITH NORMAL CARDIAC VIEWS ON ANATOMY SCAN

Mary F Donovan, DO (1), Todd Foster, PhD (2) Dawn M Zimmer, MD (3)

•

(1) St. Vincent Women and Infants Hospital-Indianapolis, IN (2) St. Vincent Hospital- Indianapolis, IN (3) Indianapolis Center for Maternal and Fetal Care-Indianapolis, IN

Introduction

- IVF pregnancies are still thought to carry an increased risk of congenital heart defects (CHD) and research has not yet proven the rate of CHD is similar to the general population [1].
- Fetal echo is recommended for all IVF pregnancies, including patients at low risk of CHD [2, 3, 4].

Objective

 To compare the accuracy of detailed anatomy ultrasound vs. fetal echo in detecting CHD for IVF pregnancies without additional risk factors for CHD.

Materials/Methods

 US and live birth data reviewed for 269 IVF pregnancies from Jan. 2021 - Dec. 2023.

 - McNemar's test used to assess paired findings from anatomy US, fetal echo and live birth findings. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of these comparisons were calculated.
- Subgroup analysis: only detailed anatomy US between 18w0d to 22w0d at AIUM accredited facility read by MFM (N=140).

- Exclusion criteria: pre-existing DM, prior child with CHD, 1st degree relative with CHD and monochorionic twin pregnancies
- Patients without these risk factors were defined as low risk.
- Patients with suboptimal views on anatomy US were also analyzed.

Results

			Was a Congenital Heart Defect Identified at Delivery?		
			Congenital Heart Defect Found	No Congenital Heart Defect Identified	Total
Anatomy Scan Result	Congenital Heart Defect Found	n	2	1	3
		% within Anatomy Scan Result	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.4%	0.7%	2.1%
	No Congenital Heart Defect Identified	n	0	138	138
		% within Anatomy Scan Result	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	0.0%	97.9%	97.9%
Total		n	2	139	141
		% within Anatomy Scan Result	1.4%	98.6%	100.0%
		% of Total	1.4%	98.6%	100.0%

Subgroup analysis:

1. Anatomy US from 18w0d to 22w0d

- Sensitivity 100% (95% CI 15.8% 100%)
- Specificity 99% (95% CI 96.0% 99.9%)
- Accuracy 99% (95% CI 96.1 99.9%)

2. Fetal Echo

- Sensitivity 100% (95% CI 15.8% 100%)
- Specificity 99% (95% CI 96.0% 99.9%)
- Accuracy 99% (95% CI 96.1 99.9%)
- For detecting CHD from all anatomy scans at any gestational age (N=230), a sensitivity of 66.67% (95% CI 9.4% 99.1%) and specificity of 99.6% was found (95% CI 97.6% 99.9%).
- No significant difference in live birth outcomes was observed for patients in the suboptimal cardiac views group (p = 0.99).

Discussion

- Anatomy US between 18w0d to 22w0d demonstrated 100% sensitivity in CHD detection when performed at an AIUM accredited facility read by MFM.
- No cases of CHD were missed for low risk patients with normal cardiac views on detailed anatomy US between 18w0d to 22w0d gestation.

Conclusion

- Fetal echo did not show a clinical benefit for low risk patients.
- Our study suggests similar sensitivity in ruling out CHD based on normal cardiac views on detailed anatomy US vs. fetal echo.

References

- 1. Kawwass J.F. Badell M.L.Maternal and fetal risk associated with assisted reproductive technology. Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 132: 763-772
- AIUM Practice Parameter for the Performance of Fetal Echocardiography. J Ultrasound Med. 2020 Jan;39(1):E5-E16. doi: 10.1002/jum.15188.
- Rychik J, Ayres N, Cuneo B, et al. American Society of Echocardiography guidelines and standards for performance of the fetal echocardiogram. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2004 Jul 17 (7): 803-810.

4. Donofrio et al. American Heart Association Adults With Congenital Heart Disease Joint Committee of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young and Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing. Diagnosis and treatment of fetal cardiac disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2014 May