Does Endometrial Fluid Impact Outcomes in Single, Euploid Frozen Embryo Transfers?

Karishma Patel,^{1,2} Denny Sakkas,¹ Daniel Duvall,¹ Brittany Morse,¹ Alan Penzias,^{1,2} Denis Vaughan^{1,2,3}

Background

- Frozen embryo transfer (FET) of euploid embryos helps to isolate the contribution of embryo vs. uterine environment during implantation¹
- Endometrial fluid (EF) occurs in 3-8% of cycles, and is generally associated with decreased implantation success²
- Persistent EF may lead to cycle cancellation which can have physical, emotional, and financial implications

Objective

To evaluate the impact of EF during single, euploid FET \bullet cycles and to evaluate live birth rate (LBR) of subsequent cycles following cancellation for EF

Methods

- Retrospective cohort study
- Sample: Patient's first euploid FET from first autologous oocyte retrieval at a university-affiliated infertility practice from 2014-2022
- Primary outcome was LBR per embryo transfer
- Secondary analysis of LBR of first subsequent FET after cycle cancellation due to EF

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center





1. Boston IVF-IVI RMA Global Research Alliance, Waltham, Massachusetts; 2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; 3. Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

Results

- 4308 single, euploid FETs met inclusion criteria
- Table 1 demonstrates baseline patient and cycle characteristics
- More patients had a history of cesarean section in EF groups and there was a greater proportion of programmed cycles in the persistent EF group
- The LBR was significantly lower by 20% in the group that had persistent EF on day of progesterone start (Table 2)
- No significant difference in LBR was seen when EF resolved prior to progesterone start (Table 2)
- The LBR for subsequent cycles after cycle cancellation was 39.7% (similar to persistent EF group)

Table 1. Patient and cycle characteristics of first single, euploid FET stratified by EF group							
	No EF present $(N = 4140)$	EF resolved prior to prog start (N = 108)	EF persistent at prog start (N = 60)	P			
Female Age	36	35.3	35.3				
Female BMI	26.1	24.4	25.3				
Gravidity (median)	0	1	1				
Parity (median)	0	0	1				
Reason for infertility							
Endometriosis	3.1%	1.9%	5.0%				
Tubal Disease	6.5%	6.5%	8.3%				
Ovulation Disorder	24.6%	25.9%	33.3%				
RPL	9.4%	18.5%	8.3%				
Uterine Factor	2.3%	2.8%	0%				
Method of fertilization							
Conventional Insemination	55.5%	59.3%	61.7%				
ICSI	44.5%	40.7%	38.3%				
History of C-section	9.2%	17.6%	21.7%				
Endometrial Thickness Achieved	9.4	8.9	8.5				
Programmed FET	49.2%	23.2%	68.3%				
Natural FET	50.8%	76.8%	31.7%				

-value
0.04
0.01
< 0.001
0.02
0.52
0.92
0.3
0.01
0.5
0.5
< 0.001
< 0.001
< 0.001
< 0.001

		N (%)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Live Birth	No EF Present (reference)	58.5%	Adjusted OK (9576 CI)
	EF resolved prior to prog	49.1%	0.69 (0.47, 1.04)
	start		
	EF persistent at prog start	38.3%	0.48 (0.27, 0.84)
		L	
Clinical	No EF Present (reference)	65.3%	
Intrauterine	EF resolved prior to prog start	58.3%	0.80 (0.53, 1.21)
Gestation	EF persistent at prog start	46.7%	0.48 (0.27, 0.86)
	•	•	
Miscarriage	No EF Present (reference)	5.9%	
	EF resolved prior to prog start	4.6%	0.92 (0.36, 2.31)
	EF persistent at prog start	8.3%	1.42 (0.55, 3.7)

Conclusions

- Success of single, euploid FETs was unaffected when EF resolved prior to progesterone start
- The LBR was 20% lower when EF was persistent on day of progesterone start
- If EF is persistent, cycle cancellation may not confer an improved LBR in a subsequent cycle indicating EF may be related to innate alteration in uterine environment

References

- Garneau AS, Young SL. Defining recurrent implantation failure: a profusion of confusion or simply an illusion? Fertil Steril [Internet] 2021 [cited 2025 Feb 7];116(6):1432-5.
- 2. Liu S, Shi L, Shi J. Impact of endometrial cavity fluid on assisted reproductive technology outcomes. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics [Internet] 2016;132(3):278-83.





